

MMA 数学特論 I

Algorithms for polynomial systems: elimination & Gröbner bases

多項式系のアルゴリズム: グレブナー基底 & 消去法

Lecture II: Univariate polynomials, (polynomials in one variable)

April, 22th 2010. Part I: Generalities

Part II: The quotient ring $\mathbb{k}[X]/\langle P \rangle$

Part III: When $\mathbb{k}[X]/\langle P \rangle$ is it a field ?

May, 6th 2010. Part IV: Algebraic numbers

Part I: Generalities

The polynomial algebra $\mathbb{k}[X]$

$P \in \mathbb{k}[X]$ written as: $P = \sum_{i=0}^n p_i X^i$, with $p_i \in \mathbb{k}$.

The largest integer n such that $p_n \neq 0$ is called the **degree** of P .

Then, the **leading coefficient** of P is p_n : $\text{LC}(P) = p_n$.

Let $Q = \sum_{i=0}^m q_i X^i$ be a polynomial of degree $m \leq n$.

Addition: $P + Q = \sum_{i=0}^m (q_i + p_i) X^i + \left[\sum_{i=m+1}^n p_i X^i \right]$ appears only if $m < n$

Multiplication: $PQ = \sum_{i=0}^{m+n} \left(\sum_{k+l=i} p_k q_l \right) X^i$

$\Leftrightarrow \text{LC}(PQ) = p_n q_m = \text{LC}(P)\text{LC}(Q)$ which is not zero (true over any field).

The ring $\mathbb{k}[X]$

The following three points are easy to check:

1. $PQ = QP$ (the multiplication is **commutative**)
2. $(PQ)R = P(QR)$ (the multiplication is **associative**)
3. $P(Q + R) = PQ + PR$ (the multiplication is **distributive** with respect to the addition)

$\Rightarrow \mathbb{k}[X]$ is a commutative **ring**.

Definition 1 A **ring** R is a set endowed with an addition $+$ so that $(R, +)$ is a commutative group, and a multiplication \times , with a unit element 1_A , which verifies points 2 and 3 above.

If \times verifies point 1 as well, then R is a commutative ring.

The degree

Proposition 1 For any polynomials P and Q in $\mathbb{k}[X]$, we have:

- (i) $\deg(P + Q) \leq \max\{\deg(P), \deg(Q)\}$, with *equality* if $\deg(P) \neq \deg(Q)$.
(true over *any* ring, not only fields \mathbb{k}).
- (ii) $\deg(PQ) = \deg(P) + \deg(Q)$ (not true over any ring, but true over any integral domain \rightarrow Definition 7)

PROOF: Exercise. □

Example: $P = X^2 + X$ and $Q = -X^2 + 1$, then $\deg(P + Q) < 2$.

Consequence: Let $L \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and let $\mathbb{k}[X]_{<L} = \{P \in \mathbb{k}[X] \mid \deg(P) < L\}$.

This a \mathbb{k} -vector space of dimension L , with *monomial basis*

$\{1, X, X^2, \dots, X^{L-1}\}$ (Comment: there are many other bases of $\mathbb{k}[X]_{<L}$!).

Lagrange bases of $\mathbb{k}[X]_{<L}$

Nodes: Let a_1, \dots, a_L be L **distinct** points in \mathbb{k} (assume $L < |\mathbb{k}|$, if \mathbb{k} is finite).

Idempotents: For $1 \leq i \leq L$, let $\ell_i(X) := \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{X - a_j}{a_i - a_j}$.

- $\ell_i(a_j) = 0$ if $j \neq i$, and $\ell_i(a_i) = 1$.
- $\deg(\ell_i) = L - 1$

Lagrange interpolation formula: For any $P \in \mathbb{k}[X]_{<L}$, we have

$P(X) = \sum_{i=1}^L P(a_i)\ell_i(X)$. Indeed, let $Q(X) = P(X) - \sum_{i=1}^L P(a_i)\ell_i(X)$:

$$\begin{aligned} Q(a_i) &= P(a_i) - P(a_1)\ell_1(a_i) - P(a_2)\ell_2(a_i) - \dots - P(a_i)\ell_i(a_i) - \dots - P(a_L)\ell_L(a_i) \\ &= P(a_i) - \quad 0 \quad - \quad 0 \quad - \dots - P(a_i)1 \quad - \dots - \quad 0 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow Q$ is of degree $L - 1$ and has L roots, hence $Q = 0$ (Corollary 1, Lect. I).

Consequences: $1 = \ell_1(X) + \ell_2(X) + \dots + \ell_L(X)$.

$\{\ell_1(X), \dots, \ell_L(X)\}$ generates $\mathbb{k}[X]_{<L}$ as a vector space, so it is a **basis**.

The graded commutative algebra $\mathbb{k}[X]$

Consequence: ... The multiplication in $\mathbb{k}[X]$ induces a \mathbb{k} -bilinear map of $\mathbb{k}[X]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mult} : \mathbb{k}[X]_{<L_1} \times \mathbb{k}[X]_{<L_2} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{k}[X]_{<L_1+L_2} \\ (A, B) &\longmapsto AB \end{aligned}$$

We say that $\mathbb{k}[X]$ is a **graded** ring.

Also $\mathbb{k}[X]$ is a \mathbb{k} -vector space (of infinite dimension...) \Rightarrow it is an **algebra** over \mathbb{k} .

\Rightarrow Finally, $\mathbb{k}[X]$ is a ring, a \mathbb{k} -vector space, graded, commutative: it is a **graded commutative algebra** over \mathbb{k} .

Definition 2 An **algebra** A over a field k is a ring that is a k -vector space.

Part II: The quotient ring $\mathbb{k}[X]/\langle P \rangle$

The remainder map

Let $P \in \mathbb{k}[X]$ be a non-constant polynomial of degree $L \geq 1$.

For any $A \in \mathbb{k}[X]$, let $A = BP + R$ be the **Euclidean division** of A by P .

The map ϕ_P is well-defined, because the remainder R is **uniquely** determined by A and P .

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_P : \mathbb{k}[X] &\longrightarrow \mathbb{k}[X]_{<L} \\ A &\longmapsto R,\end{aligned}$$

Easy to check: For any $A_1, A_2 \in \mathbb{k}[X]$ we have:

$$\phi_P(A_1 + A_2) = \phi_P(A_1) + \phi_P(A_2).$$

For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}$: $\phi_P(\lambda A_1) = \lambda \phi_P(A_1)$.

$\Rightarrow \phi_P$ is a **linear map** between the \mathbb{k} -vector spaces $\mathbb{k}[X]$ and $\mathbb{k}[X]_{<L}$.

Kernel of the remainder map

$$\begin{aligned}\ker \phi_P &= \{A \in \mathbb{k}[X] \mid \phi_P(A) = 0\} \\ &= \{A \in \mathbb{k}[X] \mid P \mid A, \text{ “}P \text{ divides } A\text{”}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\ker \phi_P = \langle P \rangle$ (the **principal ideal** generated by P).

Notation: For $a \in \mathbb{k}[X]$ let $a + \langle P \rangle = \{a + QP \mid Q \in \mathbb{k}[X]\} \subset \mathbb{k}[X]$.

(*Comment*: sometimes denoted $a \bmod P$, or even $a\langle P \rangle \dots$)

Definition 3 An **ideal** I of a commutative ring A is a subset which verifies:

1. I is a subgroup of A for the addition.
2. for all $a \in A$ and $b \in I$, we have $ab \in I$

An ideal I is said to be **principal** if $I = \langle b \rangle$ (where $\langle b \rangle := \{ab \mid a \in A\}$).

A quotient algebra

Let $\mathbb{k}[X]/\langle P \rangle := \{a + \langle P \rangle \mid a \in \mathbb{k}[X]\}$.

Lemma 1 $\mathbb{k}[X]/\langle P \rangle$ is a \mathbb{k} -algebra (a \mathbb{k} -vector space and a ring).

PROOF: Let $\langle P \rangle \in \mathbb{k}[X]/\langle P \rangle$ be the zero element.

Addition: $(a + \langle P \rangle) + (b + \langle P \rangle) := (a + b) + \langle P \rangle$

Multiplication: $(a + \langle P \rangle) \cdot (b + \langle P \rangle) := ab + \langle P \rangle$. (indeed:
 $(a + \langle P \rangle) \cdot (b + \langle P \rangle) = ab + (a + b)\langle P \rangle + \langle P^2 \rangle$, but $(a + b)\langle P \rangle + \langle P^2 \rangle \subset \langle P \rangle$).

Easy to check: with this addition and multiplication, $\mathbb{k}[X]/\langle P \rangle$ is a ring (Cf. Definition 1)

Finally, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}^*$, we have: $\lambda(a + \langle P \rangle) = \lambda a + \langle P \rangle$, because $\langle \lambda P \rangle = \langle P \rangle$.

This defines on $\mathbb{k}[X]/\langle P \rangle$ a structure of vector space over \mathbb{k} .

By Definition 2 this shows that $\mathbb{k}[X]/\langle P \rangle$ is an algebra. □

An isomorphism

For two polynomials $a, b \in \mathbb{k}[X]$, if $a - b \in \langle P \rangle = \ker \phi_P$ then:

$$\phi_P(a - b) = 0 \Rightarrow \phi_P(a) = \phi_P(b) \Rightarrow \forall b \in a + \langle P \rangle, \phi_P(b) = \phi_P(a).$$

Then $\bar{\phi}_P(a + \langle P \rangle) := \phi_P(a)$ is **well-defined**.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{k}[X] & \xrightarrow{\text{mod } P} & \mathbb{k}[X]/\langle P \rangle & \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}_P} & \mathbb{k}[X]_{<L} \\ a & \mapsto & a + \langle P \rangle & \mapsto & \bar{\phi}_P(a + \langle P \rangle). \end{array}$$

By definition : $\phi_P = \bar{\phi}_P \circ \text{mod } P$.

$\Rightarrow \ker \bar{\phi}_P = \langle P \rangle$ which is zero in $\mathbb{k}[X]/\langle P \rangle$.

$\Rightarrow \bar{\phi}_P$ is an **isomorphism** of vector spaces between $\mathbb{k}[X]/\langle P \rangle$ and $\mathbb{k}[X]_{<L}$.

$\Rightarrow \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}[X]/\langle P \rangle = L$.

Comment: $\mathbb{k}[X]_{<L}$ is **not** a subring of $\mathbb{k}[X]$, because there exists $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{k}[X]_{<L}$, such that $\deg(P_1 P_2) \geq L$ (so that $P_1 P_2 \notin \mathbb{k}[X]_{<L}$). But we can **transport** the multiplication of $\mathbb{k}[X]/\langle P \rangle$ to $\mathbb{k}[X]_{<L}$ by this linear isomorphism:

$P_1 \cdot P_2 := \bar{\phi}_P(P_1 P_2)$. Then, $\bar{\phi}_P$ is a **ring homomorphism**, and also an isomorphism.

Abstraction to general rings

Let A be a commutative ring and I an ideal of A .

The *quotient* ring A/I is a ring defined in the following way:

Addition: $(a + I) + (b + I) = (a + b) + I$.

Multiplication: $(a + I)(b + I) = ab + (a + b)I + I^2 \subset (ab) + I$.

Let B be another ring, and $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ a **ring homomorphism**:

1. $\phi(0) = 0$, $\phi(1_A) = 1_B$ and for all $a_1, a_2 \in A$:
2. $\phi(a_1 + a_2) = \phi(a_1) + \phi(a_2)$ and $\phi(a_1 a_2) = \phi(a_1)\phi(a_2)$,

First isomorphism theorem: As before, $I := \ker \phi$ is an ideal of A , and $\forall a' \in a + I$, $\phi(a') = \phi(a)$.

The map $\bar{\phi}(a + I) := \phi(a)$ is **well-defined** and verifies, $\phi = \bar{\phi} \circ \text{mod } I$:

$$A \xrightarrow{\text{mod } I} A/I \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}} B, \quad \text{and } \bar{\phi} \text{ is one-one}$$

Another very similar ring: $\mathbb{Z} (1/2)$

\mathbb{Z} and $\mathbb{k}[X]$ are 2 rings with an Euclidean division: they are **Euclidean rings**.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\phi_n : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$,
 $r \mapsto r \bmod n$ (euclidean remainder of r by n).

As usual: $\phi_n(x + y) = \phi_n(\phi_n(x) + \phi_n(y)) = x + y \bmod n$.

$\phi_n(xy) = \phi_n(\phi_n(x)\phi_n(y)) = xy \bmod n$.

/! $\{0, \dots, n-1\}$ has no structure: no addition, multiplication...

We **transport** the addition and multiplication of \mathbb{Z} to $\{0, \dots, n-1\}$ by the map $\phi_n : \phi_n$ becomes then a ring homomorphism that is onto.

Definition 4 A **principal ideal domain** (PID for short) is an integral domain in which each ideal is principal.

Proposition 2 Any **Euclidean ring** is a PID (but some PID are not Euclidean).

Another very similar ring: \mathbb{Z} (2/2)

Kernel of the map ϕ_n : $\ker \phi_n = \{r \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n|r \text{ “}r \text{ divides } n\text{”}\} = n\mathbb{Z}$.

This is an ideal of \mathbb{Z} . The quotient ring is denoted $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

An element of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is denoted $a + n\mathbb{Z}$ ($= \{a + rn \mid r \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$).

The addition and multiplication of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ are defined naturally.

If $a' \in a + n\mathbb{Z}$, then $\phi_n(a') = \phi_n(a)$, so the map

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\phi}_n : \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow \{0, \dots, n-1\}, \\ a + n\mathbb{Z} &\mapsto \phi_n(a)\end{aligned}$$

is **well-defined**.

The first isomorphism theorem is written in this case:

$$\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\text{mod } n} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}_n} \{0, \dots, n-1\}, \quad \text{with } \phi_n = \bar{\phi}_n \circ \text{mod } n, \text{ and } \bar{\phi}_n \text{ is one-one}$$

Part III: When $\mathbb{k}[X]/\langle P \rangle$ is it a field ?

Bézout identity

Let a and b be two polynomials of $\mathbb{k}[X]$; denote $\gcd(a, b) = g$.

This means: $\langle a, b \rangle = \langle g \rangle$, so there exists, $u, v \in \mathbb{k}[X]$ such that

$$au + bv = g \quad (\text{Bézout identity})$$

Euclid's Lemma: Let p and x be 2 *relatively prime* ($\iff \gcd(p, x) = 1$) polynomials in $\mathbb{k}[X]$, and y another one. Assume that: $p|xy$ (p divides xy). Then $p|y$ (p divides y).

PROOF: The Bézout identity of p and x is here : $up + vx = 1$ for 2 polynomials $u, v \in \mathbb{k}[X]$.

So $upy + vxy = y$ and since $p|xy$, there exists p' such that $pp' = xy$:

$\Rightarrow upy + vpp' = y \Rightarrow p(uy + vp') = y$, so $p|y$. □

Prime ideal and irreducible element

Definition 5 A polynomial $P \in \mathbb{k}[X]$ is irreducible if it is non-constant ($\iff \deg(P) > 0$), and if we have:

$$P = P_1 P_2, \text{ then } P_1 \text{ or } P_2 \in \mathbb{k} \text{ (} \iff \deg(P_1) \text{ or } \deg(P_2) = 0 \text{)}.$$

Comment: If P is an irreducible polynomial, then P has no root in \mathbb{k} (indeed if $\alpha \in \mathbb{k}$ is such a root, then $X - \alpha$ is a factor in $\mathbb{k}[X]$ of P , contradiction).

The converse is false: $X^4 - X^2 + 2$ has no root in \mathbb{k} , but factorizes into $(X^2 + 1)(X^2 - 2)$.

Proposition 3 If P is an irreducible polynomial, then the ideal it generates $\langle P \rangle$ in $\mathbb{k}[X]$, is a **prime ideal**.

Definition 6 An ideal I of a ring A is **prime** if for all $x, y \in A$ such that $xy \in I$, then $x \in I$ or $y \in I$.

Field $\mathbb{k}[X]/\langle P \rangle$

PROOF:(of Proposition 3) Let $x, y \in \mathbb{k}[X]$ such that $xy \in \langle P \rangle$. This is equivalent to $p|xy$. By Euclid's Lemma, $p|x$ or $p|y$; so x or $y \in \langle P \rangle$. \square

This implies: if P is irreducible, then $\mathbb{k}[X]/\langle P \rangle$ is an **integral domain**. There is actually a stronger result:

Proposition 4 *If P is an irreducible polynomial, then $\mathbb{k}[X]/\langle P \rangle$ is a field*

PROOF:Given $a + \langle P \rangle \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{k}[X]/\langle P \rangle$ ($\iff a \notin \langle P \rangle$), what is its inverse ?

(1) If $a \in \mathbb{k}^*$, then $(a + \langle P \rangle)(\frac{1}{a} + \langle P \rangle) = 1 + \langle P \rangle$.

(2) If $a \notin \mathbb{k}$, ($\iff \deg(a) > 0$), then a and P are relatively prime (since P is supposed irreducible), and the Bézout identity holds: $au + Pv = 1$. It comes: $(a + \langle P \rangle)(u + \langle P \rangle) = 1 + \langle P \rangle$. \square

Definition 7 *A ring A is an **integral domain** if $xy = 0 \implies x = 0$ or $y = 0$.*

Lemma 2 *If I is a prime ideal, then A/I is an integral domain.*

Computing Bézout identity

Extended Euclidean Algorithm

Inputs: $f, g \in \mathbb{k}[X]$ with $f \neq 0$ and $\deg(f) \geq \deg(g)$

Outputs: $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $r_\ell, s_\ell, t_\ell \in \mathbb{k}[X]$, with $r_\ell = \gcd(f, g)$ and $r_\ell = fs_\ell + gt_\ell$.

1: $r_0 \leftarrow f, s_0 \leftarrow 1, t_0 \leftarrow 0$

2: $r_1 \leftarrow g, s_1 \leftarrow 0, t_1 \leftarrow 1$

3: $i \leftarrow 1$

4: **while** ($r_i \neq 0$) **do**

5: $(q_i, r_{i+1}) \leftarrow \text{EuclideanDivision}(r_{i-1}, r_i)$ // so that: $r_{i-1} = q_i r_i + r_{i+1}$

6: $s_{i+1} \leftarrow s_{i-1} - q_i s_i$

7: $t_{i+1} \leftarrow t_{i-1} - q_i t_i$

8: $i \leftarrow i + 1$

end while

9: $\ell \leftarrow i - 1$

10: **return** $\ell, r_\ell, s_\ell, t_\ell$.

Termination

Does the algorithm **terminate** ? Yes.

We must show that the **while** loop at Step 4 exits after a **finite** number of iterations. For all $i = 1, 2, \dots$ by Step 5, $r_{i-1} = q_i r_i + r_{i+1}$, with $r_{i-1} \neq 0$ and $\deg(r_{i-1}) < \deg(r_i)$ or $r_{i-1} = 0$.

Starting with $r_0 = f$, and $r_1 = g$, the sequence $(\deg(r_i))_{i \geq 0}$ is **strictly** decreasing, and then there exists $i \geq 1$ such that $r_i = 0$. Then the **while** loop does a **finite** number of iterations.

Actually, this shows that the number of iterations is at most $\deg(r_1) = \deg(g)$.

Comment: If we replace $\mathbb{k}[X]$ by \mathbb{Z} , and $\deg(\cdot)$ by the absolute value $|\cdot|$, the algorithm and the proof of termination are the same.

Correctness

Is the algorithm **correct** ? Or is $r_\ell = fs_\ell + gt_\ell$ the Bézout identity ?

For $i = 0, \dots, \ell$, the equality $r_i = fs_i + gt_i$ $(*)_i$ holds.

Proof by induction. By the initialization step, $r_0 = f$ and $s_0f + t_0g = f$.

Then if we assume Equality $(*)_j$ true for $j = 0, \dots, i$ then by Steps 5,6 and 7:

$$\begin{aligned} r_{i+1} &= r_{i-1} - r_i q_i = (s_{i-1}f + t_{i-1}g) - (s_i f + t_i g)q_i \\ &= (s_{i-1} - q_i s_i)f + (t_{i-1} - q_i t_i)g = s_{i+1}f + t_{i+1}g, \end{aligned}$$

which is $(*)_{i+1}$.

Finally, if $r_i = 0$, then we have $r_{i-1} = \gcd(f, g)$ (this is the standard Euclidean algorithm) and Step 9 denotes $r_\ell = \gcd(f, g)$. So $r_\ell = fs_\ell + gt_\ell$ \square

Comment: This proof is correct if we exchange $\mathbb{k}[X]$ by \mathbb{Z} (or any Euclidean ring).

Example over \mathbb{Z}

$f = 126$ and $g = 35$.

i	q_i	r_i	s_i	t_i	$r_i = s_i f + t_i g$	$r_{i-1} = q_i r_i + r_{i+1}$
0		126	1	0	$126 = 1 \cdot 126 + 0 \cdot 35$	
1	3	35	0	1	$35 = 0 \cdot 126 + 1 \cdot 35$	$126 = 3 \cdot 35 + 21$
2	1	21	1	-3	$21 = 1 \cdot 126 - 3 \cdot 35$	$35 = 1 \cdot 21 + 14$
3	1	14	-1	4	$14 = -1 \cdot 126 + 4 \cdot 35$	$21 = 1 \cdot 14 + 7$
4	2	7	2	-7	$7 = 2 \cdot 126 - 7 \cdot 35$	$14 = 2 \cdot 7 + 0$
5		0	-5	18	$0 = -5 \cdot 126 + 18 \cdot 35$	

We have $r_5 = 0$ so $\ell = 4$ and $\gcd(f, g) = r_4 = 7$ and the Bézout identity is:

$$7 = 2 \cdot 126 - 7 \cdot 35$$

Example over $\mathbb{k}[X]$

$$f = 18X^3 - 42X^2 + 30X - 6 \text{ and } g = -12X^2 + 10X - 2$$

i	q_i	r_i	s_i	t_i
0		$18X^3 - 42X^2 + 30X - 6$	1	0
1	$-\frac{3}{2}X + \frac{9}{4}$	$-12X^2 + 10X - 2$	0	1
2	$-\frac{8}{3}X + \frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{9}{2}X - \frac{3}{2}$	1	$\frac{3}{2}X - \frac{9}{4}$
3		0	$\frac{8}{3}X - \frac{4}{3}$	$4X^2 - 8X + 4$

Here $r_3 = 0$ so $\ell = 2$ and $\gcd(f, g) = r_\ell = r_2 = \frac{9}{2}X - \frac{3}{2}$. The Bézout identity:

$$\frac{9}{2}X - \frac{3}{2} = 1 \cdot (18X^3 - 42X^2 + 30X - 6) + \left(\frac{3}{2}X - \frac{9}{4}\right) (-12X^2 + 10X - 2)$$

Application of the EEA, 1

Linear Diophantine equations : What are the $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $6x - 8y = 1$?
 $\gcd(6, 8) = 2 \Rightarrow \langle 8, 6 \rangle = \langle 2 \rangle$. But $1 \notin \langle 2 \rangle$, so there is **no** solutions in $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

What about $6x - 8y = 4$? We can divide by the gcd : $3x - 4y = 2$

This time, $\gcd(3, 4) = 1$, so $2 \in \langle 1 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}$ and there are some solutions.

Compute the Bézout identity by the **E**xtended **E**uclidean **A**lgorithm (EEA):

$$3 \cdot (-1) + (-4) \cdot (-1) = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 3 \cdot (-2) + (-4) \cdot (-2) = 2.$$

\Rightarrow this gives one solution $(x, y) = (-2, -2)$.

All solutions are $(x, y) = (-2 + 4a, -2 + 3a), a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Application of the EEA, 2

Chinese remaindering theorem : If $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ are coprime $\langle n, m \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle$

There is an isomorphism between the two following rings:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z} &\simeq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \\ a \bmod mn &\mapsto a \bmod n, a \bmod m \\ (bun + avm) \bmod mn &\leftarrow a \bmod n, b \bmod m \end{aligned}$$

Bézout identity:
 $un + vm = 1$

Similarly, given 2 coprime polynomials $A, B \in \mathbb{k}[X]$ $\langle A, B \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle$

There is an isomorphism between the two following rings:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{k}[X]/\langle AB \rangle &\simeq \mathbb{k}[X]/\langle A \rangle \times \mathbb{k}[X]/\langle B \rangle \\ P \bmod AB &\mapsto P \bmod A, P \bmod B \\ (QUA + PVB) \bmod AB &\leftarrow P \bmod A, Q \bmod B \end{aligned}$$

Bézout identity:
 $UP + VQ = 1$

Part IV: Algebraic numbers

Back to the rationals: $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}$

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, and let $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha] := \{P(\alpha) \mid P \in \mathbb{Q}[X]\}$. This is a subring of \mathbb{C} .

Consider $\phi_\alpha : \mathbb{Q}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[\alpha], P(X) \mapsto P(\alpha)$.

This is a ring homomorphism, that is onto by definition of $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$

Let $\ker \phi_\alpha := \{P \in \mathbb{Q}[X] \mid P(\alpha) = 0\}$ be its kernel.

1st case, $\ker \phi_\alpha = \{0\}$: then α is a **transcendental** number.

2nd case, $\ker \phi_\alpha \neq \{0\}$, then α is an **algebraic** number.

By the first isomorphism theorem $\mathbb{Q}[X]/\ker \phi_\alpha \simeq \mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$ as rings.

Since $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$ is an integral domain, then $\ker \phi_\alpha$ must be a prime ideal (Lemma 2).

Assume that α is algebraic. Since $\ker \phi_\alpha \neq \{0\}$, there exists a unique **irreducible monic** polynomial P such that $\langle P \rangle = \ker \phi_\alpha$.

Definition 8 P is called the **minimal polynomial** of α .

The field embedding problem

$\langle P \rangle$ generates the ideal of vanishing polynomial at α .

$\mathbb{Q}[X]/\langle P \rangle$ is a field \Rightarrow the ring $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$ also, denoted often $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$.

Let β be another root of P (α and β are **conjugate**).

Then $\mathbb{Q}[\beta]$ is a field isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}[X]/\langle P \rangle$.

An **embedding** $\sigma : \mathbb{Q}[X]/\langle P \rangle \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an injective homomorphism, that induces the identity on \mathbb{Q} ($\sigma(x) = x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Q}$).

For each root $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ of P , there is an embedding σ_i of $\mathbb{Q}[X]/\langle P \rangle$ whose image is $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i) \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Embedding problem: Among the fields $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, which fields $\mathbb{Q}[X]/\langle P \rangle$ is it representing? (\iff which embedding $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ choosing?)

No answer, if necessary, numerical approximations of the roots of P can be done then it is satisfactory.

Computation in $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ (1/2)

Because $\{1, X, \dots, X^{n-1}\}$ is a basis of the \mathbb{Q} -vector space $\mathbb{Q}[X]/\langle P \rangle$, and because $\mathbb{Q}[X]/\langle P \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$, $X \mapsto \alpha$ is an isomorphism, we deduce that $\{1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$.

To compute in $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ we compute in $\mathbb{Q}[X]/\langle P \rangle$

Let $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$.

$$\beta = \beta_0 \cdot 1 + \beta_1 \cdot \alpha + \beta_2 \alpha^2 + \dots + \beta_{n-1} \alpha^{n-1}, \text{ with } \beta_i \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

$$\gamma = \gamma_0 \cdot 1 + \gamma_1 \cdot \alpha + \gamma_2 \alpha^2 + \dots + \gamma_{n-1} \alpha^{n-1}, \text{ with } \gamma_i \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

$$\text{Let } P_\beta(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \beta_i X^i \in \mathbb{Q}[X] \text{ and } P_\gamma(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \gamma_i X^i \in \mathbb{Q}[X].$$

We have $P_\beta(\alpha) = \beta$ and $P_\gamma(\alpha) = \gamma$.

Addition: $\beta + \gamma$ is equal to $P_\beta(\alpha) + P_\gamma(\alpha)$, so $P_{\beta+\gamma} = P_\beta + P_\gamma$.

Multiplication: $\beta \cdot \gamma$ is equal to $P_\beta(\alpha) \cdot P_\gamma(\alpha)$, so $P_{\beta \cdot \gamma} = P_\beta \cdot P_\gamma \text{ mod } P$.

Computation in $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ (2/2)

Division: Assume that $\beta \neq 0$. How to compute β^{-1} ?

\iff How to compute $(P_\beta \bmod P)^{-1}$ in the field $\mathbb{Q}[X]/\langle P \rangle$?

By Proposition 4, we compute the Bézout identity $uP_\beta + vP = 1$ using the EEA.

And $(P_\beta \bmod P)^{-1} = u \bmod P$ in $\mathbb{Q}[X]/\langle P \rangle$.

So $P_{\beta^{-1}} = u \implies \beta^{-1} = u(\alpha) = P_{\beta^{-1}}(\alpha)$.

Effective primitive element theorem (1/2)

Let \mathbb{k} be a **finite extension** of \mathbb{Q} , and let n the **degree** $[\mathbb{k} : \mathbb{Q}]$ of the extension.

Theorem 1 *There exists exactly n distinct embeddings of \mathbb{k} .*

PROOF: *(No proof, admitted. It is not the purpose of this class.)*

Corollary 1 (Theorem of the primitive element) *There exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. Such an α is called a primitive element of \mathbb{k} over \mathbb{Q} .*

PROOF: *(On the blackboard...)*

Definition 9 *A field \mathbb{L} is an **extension** of a field \mathbb{K} if $\mathbb{K} \subset \mathbb{L}$. The field \mathbb{L} is then a \mathbb{K} -vector space, and we say that $\mathbb{L}|\mathbb{K}$ is a field extension.*

*If the dimension of \mathbb{L} over \mathbb{K} is **finite**, then the extension $\mathbb{L}|\mathbb{K}$ is said finite. This dimension is called the **degree** of the extension $\mathbb{L}|\mathbb{K}$, denoted $[\mathbb{L} : \mathbb{K}]$.*

Effective primitive element theorem (2/2)

How to compute a primitive element α ?

Answer: There are **a lot** of possibilities ! \Rightarrow choose one **at random**...

In practice, \mathbb{k} is given by some algebraic elements $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$ so that $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t)$. We assume that

Today, we assume $t = 2$, so $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, and we know the degree $[\mathbb{k} : \mathbb{Q}] := n$

Proposition 5 *Let $0 < \epsilon < 1$ be fixed. Let $M \in \mathbb{N}$, verifying $M \geq \frac{n(n-1)}{4\epsilon}$.*

*Let $c \in [-M; M]$ be an integer chosen **at random**.*

Then $\alpha_1 + c\alpha_2$ is not a primitive element for \mathbb{k} ($\iff \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1 + c\alpha_2) \subsetneq \mathbb{k}$) with probability $\leq \epsilon$.

PROOF: (*On the blackboard...*)

□